UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

CALEB LINDSEY, Plaintiff(s),

v.

8

9

10

11

12

15

19

20

21

23

24

25

CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, et

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:25-cv-01027-RFB-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 1]

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis. Docket 13 No. 1. Plaintiff's application is incomplete as no information is provided as to questions 5 or 8. Moreover, the financial situation portrayed in the application is a logical impossibility, as the identified expenses greatly exceed the identified income. Hence, it is not clear whether Plaintiff 16 has some other source of income, such as from the employment of a spouse. Cf. Flores v. Colvin, 17 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93236, at *3-4 (D. Nev. May 22, 2014) (collecting cases that the *in forma* 18 pauperis analysis evaluates all income and assets to which the plaintiff has access, including those of a spouse).

Accordingly, the application to proceed in forma pauperis is **DENIED** without prejudice. The Clerk's Office is **INSTRUCTED** to send Plaintiff a copy of the long form in forma pauperis application for non-prisoners. Plaintiff must answer all questions in this long form application and must file the completed application by June 30, 2025. Failing to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 16, 2025

27

28

26

Nancy J. Koppe

United States Magistrate Judge